ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING

BEFORE THE

SECURITIES COMMISSIONER OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE MATTER OF:	)	
	)	**CONSENT ORDER**
Sanco Services, Inc. and Steve Selengut	)	
	)	
	)	**File No. 10050**
Respondents.	)	
	)	

WHEREAS, the Securities Division of the Office of the Attorney General of the State of South Carolina (the "Division"), pursuant to authority granted in the South Carolina Uniform Securities Act of 2005 (the "Act"), S.C. Code Ann. § 35-1-101 to 35-1-703 (Supp. 2010), on or about August 30, 2010, received information regarding alleged activities of Steve Selengut ("Selengut") and Sanco Services, Inc. ("Sanco")(collectively, the "Respondents") which, if true, would constitute violations of the Act;

WHEREAS, the information led the Division to open and conduct an investigation of the Respondents pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 35-1-602;

WHEREAS, in connection with the investigation, the Division has determined that evidence exists to support the foregoing findings and conclusions; and

WHEREAS, the Respondents admit the Securities Commissioner of the State of South Carolina (the "Securities Commissioner") has jurisdiction in this matter and desire to bring this matter to a conclusion and have agreed, without any admission that there has been any violation of the Act, to the remedies set forth below:

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1. Respondent Selengut is a South Carolina Resident with an address of 3906 Betsy Kerrison Parkway, Johns Island, South Carolina, 29455.

2. Respondent Sanco is a New Jersey corporation registered with the South Carolina Secretary of State with an address of 3912 Betsy Kerrison Parkway, Johns Island, South Carolina 29455.

3. During 2008 through the date of this Order (the "relevant period"), Respondent Sanco held itself out in internet advertising as an investment advisor ("IA").

4. Respondent Sanco was registered as an investment adviser with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") until the termination of such registration on October 5, 2010. Likewise, Sanco's notice filing as an SEC registered investment adviser with South Carolina, as required under the Act, was terminated on October 5, 2010.

5. During the relevant period, Respondent Selengut held himself out as an investment advisor representative ("IAR") of Respondent Sanco in the above-referenced internet advertising.

6. During the relevant period, Respondent Selengut was not registered as an IAR with the Division.

7. During or prior to the relevant period, Respondent Selengut submitted his form U-4 as part of the application process for registration, but failed to submit proof of examination in accordance with S.C. Code Ann. § 35-1-410(d). Additionally, Respondent Selengut's form U-4 was not filled out properly in order to complete registration as an IAR in South Carolina.

8. During the relevant period, Respondent Selengut received constructive notice of the deficiencies in his application and failed to correct them.

9. During the relevant period, Respondent Selengut was in control of Respondent Sanco, and was listed as a director, an officer, and the registered agent for Respondent Sanco.

10. No claim of exemption from registration has been made by or on behalf of the Respondents.

APPLICABLE LAW

WHEREAS, Respondent Sanco held itself out in internet advertising as an IA in South Carolina during the relevant period;

WHEREAS, Respondent Sanco is not registered as an IA in South Carolina;

WHEREAS, Respondent Selengut is not registered as an IAR in South Carolina;

WHEREAS, during the relevant period, Respondents Sanco and Selengut transacted business as an IA and an IAR, respectively, in or from South Carolina;

WHEREAS, Respondents bear the responsibility for claiming any applicable exemption from registration;

WHEREAS, Respondents have not claimed any exemption from registration;

WHEREAS, based on the foregoing, the Division has determined that the Respondents have engaged, are engaging, and/or are about to engage in acts and practices which violate S.C. Code Ann. §§ 35-1-403(a) & (d), 35-1-404(a) and 35-1-405(a); and

WHEREAS, the parties agree and consent to the following remedies:

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondents and every successor, affiliate, control person, agent, servant, and employee of Respondents, and every entity owned, operated, or indirectly or directly controlled by or on behalf of the Respondents:

a. Immediately cease and desist from transacting business in this State in violation of the Act;

b. Specifically, cease and desist, until properly registered, (i) soliciting new accounts in or from South Carolina, (ii) collecting fees in or from South Carolina, and (iii) advertising as an IA or IAR in South Carolina via the Internet or any other medium; and

c. Pay investigative costs of five thousand dollars ($5,000.00).

Upon execution by the Securities Commissioner, this Order resolves Administrative Proceeding 10050 as it relates to the Respondent. This Order should not be interpreted to waive any (i) criminal cause of action, (ii) private cause of action that may have accrued to investors as a result of the Respondents' actions, or (iii) other causes of action which may result from activities of the Respondent not detailed herein.

So ORDERED this 9TH day of June, 2011.

By: Alan Wilson
Alan Wilson
Securities Commissioner

Securities Division of the Office of the Attorney General

By: _____ Date: 6/7/2011

Michael S. Traynham
Assistant Attorney General
Securities Division

I CONSENT:
Respondent Sanco Services, Inc.

By: _____ Date: 6.6.2011

Name: Steven R. Selengut
Title: President

Respondent Steve Selengut

By: _____ Date: 6.6.2011