In The Supreme Court of the United States

DONALD J. TRUMP, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, ET AL.,

Applicants,

v.

STATE OF ILLINOIS AND CITY OF CHICAGO,

Respondents.

On Application to Stay the Order Issued by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois and Request for an Immediate Administrative Stay

BRIEF OF THE STATES OF IOWA, MONTANA, OKLAHOMA, SOUTH CAROLINA AND 18 ADDITIONAL STATES AS *AMICI CURIAE* IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR STAY

Brenna Bird Attorney General of Iowa Eric H. Wessan Solicitor General 1305 E. Walnut Street Des Moines, Iowa 50319 (515) 823-9117 (515)281-4209 (fax) eric.wessan@ag.iowa.gov

Counsel for the State of Iowa

AUSTIN KNUDSEN
Attorney General of Montana
CHRISTIAN B. CORRIGAN
Solicitor General
Counsel of Record
MONTANA DEPARTMENT
OF JUSTICE
215 N Sanders
Helena, MT 59602
(406) 444-2026
christian.corrigan@mt.gov

Counsel for the State of Montana

Additional counsel listed on signature block

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABL	JE OF CONTENTS	. i
TABL	E OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTE	RESTS OF AMICI CURIAE	1
ARGU	JMENT	2
I.	Violent rioters in Chicago are harming federal officers and property and impacting the Federal Government's ability to carry out Federal laws	2
II.	President Trump certainly has a "colorable basis" for federalizing the Nationa Guard to protect federal agents and property from violent rioters in Chicago	
III.	The harms incurred if violent and destructive protests and riots are allowed t continue in Chicago are borne by all states.	
CONC	CLUSION1	0

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases
Arizona v. United States, 567 U.S. 387 (2012)
Blackie's House of Beef, Inc. v. Castillo, 659 F.2d. 1211 (D.C. Cir. 1981)
California v. United States, 104 F.3d 1086 (9th Cir. 1997)
Newsom v. Trump, 141 F.4th 1032 (9th Cir. 2025)
Sterling v. Constantin, 287 U.S. 378 (1932)
United States v. Texas, 599 U.S. 670 (2023)
Statutes
10 U.S.C. § 12406
10 U.S.C. § 251
18 U.S.C. § 1385
Other Authorities
Audrey Conklin, California sheriff says Newsom 'encouraged' LA riots as ICE arrests violent illegal aliens, Fox News (Jun. 10, 2025)
Chris Nesi, Chicago police ordered to ignore Border Patrol agents' plea for help while surrounded by angry mob of protesters, N.Y. Post (Oct. 5, 2025
Chris Nesi, House Judiciary Committee opens probe into taxpayer-funded group's potential ties to LA anti-ICE riots, N.Y. Post (June 24, 2025)
Connor Greene & Brian Bennett, 'Push Back Against Tyranny': Chicago and Illinois Fight Trump's Intensifying Crackdown, Time (Oct. 6, 2025)
DHS Issues Statement on Targeted Attack on Dallas ICE Facility, DHS (Sept. 24, 2025)
Holly Yan & Priscilla Alvarez, A 2nd detainee — a father of 4 — dies after a gunman opened fire at an ICE office in Dallas, CNN (Sept. 30, 2025)
Jennifer A. Kingson, Exclusive: \$1 billion-plus riot damage is most expensive in insurance history and rioters, AXIOS (Sept. 16, 2020)
John O'Connor, Noem visits Chicago area ICE facility as agents arrest 13, raid city neighborhoods, AP (Oct. 3, 2025)
Molly Parks, Pritzker vows to boot Noem's ICE 'thugs' from Chicago, Washington Examiner (Oct. 6, 2025)
Natasha Korecki & Daniella Silva, <i>Illinois officials issue orders and file lawsuits as protesters clash with immigration agents</i> , NBC News (Oct. 6, 2025)
Sophia Tareen, Chicago area center in Trump's immigration crackdown sparks complaints of inhumane conditions, AP (Sept. 25, 2025)
Trevor Hughes, Protests in Minneapolis turned violent: Officials first blamed outsiders, but that's not what arrests show, USA Today (updated June 4, 2020)

Violent Rioters Assault Law Enforcement at ICE Broadview Processing Center, Police under JB Pritzker's Sanctuary Jurisdiction Refuse to Answer Calls to Help Stop Assaults, DHS (Sept. 19, 2025)	5
$\label{thm:chicago} Will Hager, $\it Chicago establishes ICE-free zones: Here's what we know, FOX 32 (Oct. 6, 2025) $\it a. $	4
Regulations	
Designating Antifa as a Domestic Terrorist Organization, 90 Fed Reg. 46317, 46317 (Sept. 22 2025)	,
Constitutional Provisions	
U.S. Const. art. I, § 8, cl. 15	6

INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE

For the past four years, illegal open-border immigration policies flooded the country with illegal aliens, including criminals convicted of crimes in their home country, violent international gang members, and suspected ISIS terrorists. Much of that was done in violation of federal immigration law through the assertion of executive enforcement prerogatives. Now, President Trump is executing Congress's dictates and enforcing federal immigration law—which includes valiant efforts by federal law enforcement to identify and deport alien criminals. Against that backdrop of law enforcement, activists began to gather in cities across the United States, including Chicago. But rather than protest peacefully, some of the protestors became violent, threatening federal officers, harming federal property, and certainly impeding enforcement of federal law. President Trump's deployment of a small number of National Guard members to defend against this lawlessness is responsible, constitutional, and authorized by statute.

Amici Curiae are the States and Commonwealths of Iowa, Montana, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, Texas, and West Virginia, which submit this brief in support of Defendants. Prohibiting the deployment of the National Guard to ensure ICE agents are able to fulfil their duties safely and effectively comes at an immense cost to the States. The undersigned States recognize the important roles and balance played in the National Guard system by both the States and federal government. But Plaintiffs fail to respect that balance here.

ARGUMENT

I. Violent rioters in Chicago are harming federal officers and property and impacting the Federal Government's ability to carry out Federal laws.

Recently, violence against federal immigration officials has increased. "The men and women of ICE put their lives on the line to protect and defend the lives of American citizens," ICE Assistant Secretary Tricia McLaughlin recently said in a statement. Audrey Conklin, California sheriff says Newsom 'encouraged' LA riots as ICE arrests violent illegal aliens, Fox News (Jun. 10, 2025), https://tinyurl.com/y942z342. McLaughlin also noted that "[d]emocrat politicians ... are contributing to the surge in assaults of our ICE officers through their repeated vilification and demonization of ICE," referencing comparisons made "to the modern-day Nazi gestapo" and to "glorifying rioters." Id. Indeed, there are real-world consequences to Chicago's poor treatment of federal immigration officials and inaction to address violence against them.

In September 2025, violence toward ICE agents in Chicago began to escalate after ICE began "Operation Midway Blitz"—an initiative to "target the criminal illegal aliens who flocked to Chicago and Illinois because they knew Governor [JB] Pritzker and his sanctuary policies would protect them and allow them to roam free on American streets." Chris Nesi, Chicago police ordered to ignore Border Patrol agents' plea for help while surrounded by angry mob of protesters, N.Y. Post (Oct. 5, 2025, at 6:51 pm), https://nypost.com/2025/10/05/us-news/chicago-police-ordered-to-ignore-border-patrol-agents-plea-for-help-while-surrounded-by-angry-mob-of-protesters/. The Broadview Processing Center in Chicago "continues to face violence and unlawful activity by rioters." Natasha Korecki & Daniella Silva, Illinois officials issue orders and file

pm), https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/broadview-mayor-limits-hours-protestors-ice-facility-escalating-clashe-rcna235957.

More than one hundred people have surrounded the Center and "assaulted law enforcement, threw tear gas cans, slashed tires of cars, blocked the entrance of the building, and trespassed on private property." Violent Rioters Assault Law Enforcement at ICE Broadview Processing Center, Police under JB Pritzker's Sanctuary Jurisdiction Refuse to Answer Calls to Help Stop Assaults, DHS (Sept. 19, 2025), https://bit.ly/3IP2Rvi. So far, these riots have resulted in federal agents having to arrest at least 13 people. Christine Fernando & John O'Connor, Noem visits Chicago area ICE facility as agents arrest 13, raid city neighborhoods, AP (Oct. 3, 2025, at 5:26 pm), https://bit.ly/4q53mSM.

But the threat ICE agents face in Chicago is not limited to the Broadview Center. ICE agents, who were on patrol about 15 miles from the Broadview facility, "were attacked and rammed by vehicles and boxed in by 10 cars." Nesi, *supra*. ICE agents "attempted to flee their trapped vehicle," but a woman, carrying a semi-automatic weapon, "attempted to run the officers down." *Id*. Astonishingly, local police, who were initially prepared to help ICE, were told to "stand down by the chief of patrol." *Id*.

Despite the continued violence occurring at the ICE facility and toward ICE in general, Chicago Mayor Brandon Johnson has continuously decried any federal help and has gone so far as to sign an executive order declaring "ICE-free zones' throughout the city to limit the areas where federal authorities can operate." Connor Greene & Brian Bennett, 'Push Back Against Tyranny': Chicago and Illinois Fight Trump's

Intensifying Crackdown, Time (Oct. 6, 2025, at 4:26 pm), https://bit.ly/4nIPZ98. He has done so in a purported effort to "check" the administration, "build[] a broader civic shield that limits the reach of harmful enforcement practices" and "reaffirms Chicago's role as a welcoming city." Will Hager, Chicago establishes ICE-free zones: Here's what we know, FOX 32 (Oct. 6, 2025, at 10:17 am), https://bit.ly/4nyCvwy. Illinois Governor JB Pritzker has similarly demanded federal officers "stay the hell out of Chicago," Molly Parks, Pritzker vows to boot Noem's ICE 'thugs' from Chicago, Washington Examiner (Oct. 6, 2025, at 5:44 pm), https://bit.ly/46Yyf2n, and called the President's attempt to protect ICE by deploying the National Guard an "unconstitutional invasion." Greene & Bennett, supra.

Even before the current conflict, Illinois has enforced some of "the nation's strictest sanctuary laws, widely barring cooperation between local police and federal immigration agents, including for detention." Sophia Tareen, *Chicago area center in Trump's immigration crackdown sparks complaints of inhumane conditions*, AP (Sept. 25, 2025, at 4:04 pm), https://bit.ly/439Fp2x. "The state effectively banned immigration detention in 2021, when it ended local cooperation agreements between the federal government and county jails. Illinois barred private detention in 2019 following failed attempts to build a new detention facility and there are no federal immigration detention centers in the state." *Id*.

Given the prevalence of violence aimed at federal law enforcement, in Chicago and around the country, it is unsurprising that the President deployed National Guard resources to protect them from obstructions in their attempts to follow the law.

II. President Trump certainly has a "colorable basis" for federalizing the National Guard to protect federal agents and property from violent rioters in Chicago.

Federal law explains that "[w]henever ... the President is unable with the regular forces to execute the laws of the United States ... the President may call into Federal service members and units of the National Guard of any State in such numbers as he considers necessary to ... execute those laws." 10 U.S.C. § 12406(3). In Newsom v. Trump, 141 F.4th 1032, 1052 (9th Cir. 2025), a panel of the Ninth Circuit correctly held that the President indeed had "a colorable basis for invoking" section 12406(3) to federalize the National Guard in Los Angeles. The panel used "a highly deferential standard of review" to determine if there was a "colorable assessment of the facts and law within a 'range of honest judgment" to warrant such a decision. Id. at 1051-52. In determining that the President's actions were authorized, the Court referenced that the defendants had presented evidence "of protesters' interference with the ability of federal officers to execute the laws," noting violence against federal officers, including throwing objects, ramming gates, and vandalizing property. Id. at 1052. The court also noted that defendants' declarations there showed how "those activities significantly impeded the ability of federal officers to execute the laws." *Id*.

This Court should employ this same analysis, under which, there is no doubt that the President's decision to send national guard members to Chicago reflects a similar "colorable assessment of the facts and law within a 'range of honest judgment." Id. at 1051. There has been a continuous and growing threat outside of the ICE building in Chicago. Hundreds of protesters have gathered, assaulted ICE agents, and actively worked to block agents from accessing the building. See supra, https://www.dhs.gov/news/2025/09/19/violent-rioters-assault-law-enforcement-icebroadview-processing-center-police. And the President's order to send approximately 300 National Guard members, contrasted with the ~4,000 deployed in California in *Newsom*, shows that there is a measured response here. That is not to say that the President cannot federalized more members, but this response certainly shows that it was a reasoned decision based on the facts as known at the time. The President's response here was certainly "within a 'range of honest judgment." *Newsom*, 141 F.4th at 1050 (quoting *Sterling v. Constantin*, 287 U.S. 378, 399–400 (1932)).

Additionally, the phrase "regular forces" also does not prevent the President from federalizing the national guard here. First, the Ninth Circuit correctly stated that the phrase "regular forces" means not only the regular armed forces but also "federal officers" more generally, i.e., the federal law enforcement personnel who are engaged in executing federal immigration laws or making arrests of individuals who are violating criminal laws, here ICE and Federal Protective Services officers. See Newsom, 141 F.4th at 1051-52. This interpretation is supported by § 12406(3)'s plain text which refers to the "regular forces" who are engaged in "execut[ing] the law." The statute contains a three-part structure that mirrors the three circumstances described in Article I, Section 8, Clause 15 of the Constitution enumerating that Congress has the power "[t]o provide for calling forth the Militia [1] to execute the Laws of the Union, [2] suppress Insurrections and [3] repel Invasions." U.S. Const. art. I, § 8, cl. 15. There is no indication in the constitutional or statutory text that "execut[ing]" the laws was limited to the "army" or "navy." Moreover, interpreting "regular forces" to be limited to the regular armed forces, would make § 12406(3) of little effect because the United States military does not regularly "execute the law," as Congress has generally forbidden them from doing so in the absence of express statutory authorization. See 18 U.S.C. § 1385. This interpretation would mean that the President must first invoke a federal law such as the Insurrection Act, 10 U.S.C. § 251 et seq. before he could call up the national guard to assist the "regular forces."

Therefore, it would make little sense to provide that the national guard may be called up only to assist the regular army and navy with executing the laws of the United States. Instead, it makes much more sense to interpret § 12406(3) as authorizing the President to federalize the national guard to ensure federal laws are upheld as "regular forces," including federal officers, such as ICE and Federal Protective Services officers execute the laws.

III. The harms incurred if violent and destructive protests and riots are allowed to continue in Chicago are borne by all States.

The President's decision to federalize the national guard to protect federal officers and property in Chicago has effects beyond the borders of Illinois; States and cities across the U.S. are benefited by this decision.

States are already impacted with the immense costs of illegal immigration. States have been required to bear numerous financial costs as a result of illegal immigration including cost related to incarceration and supervisions of criminal illegal aliens, the cost of criminal recidivism, and public education and healthcare costs. See United States v. Texas, 599 U.S. 670, 716-17 (2023) (Alito, J., dissenting). These expenses have collectively cost the States billions. See California v. United States, 104 F.3d 1086, 1090 (9th Cir. 1997). These costs are particularly acute, where the Supreme Court has left the States without recourse to address immigration-related harms

outside of federal enforcement. See Arizona v. United States, 567 U.S. 387 (2012) (holding that a state generally may not enact a law that addresses the subject of immigration because Congress has "occupied the field."); Texas, 599 U.S. at 674 (holding a state is precluded from suing to ensure that the federal government is enforcing immigration laws). As the United State Supreme Court has stated, "[t]he problems posed to the State[s] by illegal immigration must not be underestimated." Arizona, 567 U.S. at 389. If federal resources needed to enforce federal immigration law are diverted from Illinois, other States will continue to bear the costs of illegal immigration.

States are at risk of even more costs if violent protests are implicitly endorsed in Illinois. Antifa-aligned groups seek to undermine the federal government as it works to redress this significant problem by causing damage to federal property, harming federal agents, and in some cases, damaging the city in which the riot is located—causing significant damage to the state and its citizens. For example, the costs of the anti-ICE riots in Los Angeles are estimated to have cost taxpayers \$30 million. Chris Nesi, House Judiciary Committee opens probe into taxpayer-funded group's potential ties to LA anti-ICE riots, N.Y. Post (June 24, 2025, at 12:34 pm), https://nypost.com/2025/06/24/usnews/house-judiciary-committee-opens-probe-into-chirlas-possible-role-in-la-riots/.

Indeed, many reports suggest that much of the violence and destruction caused by these riots can be traced to a few organizations funding the riots, see id., or individuals moving between the States encouraging this type of behavior, see Wiley, et al., supra, https://www.opb.org/article/2025/06/14/portland-police-ice-facility-riot/.1

¹ Indeed, this type of interstate movement has occurred before. Take for example the riots that occurred in 2020. Destruction due to rioting occurred in at least 20 States,

The pervasiveness of these coordinated attacks cannot be underestimated. They have resulted in the President declaring Antifa a domestic terrorist organization due to its explicit "calls for the overthrow of the United States Government, law enforcement authorities, and our system of law." Designating Antifa as a Domestic Terrorist Organization, 90 Fed Reg. 46317, 46317 (Sept. 22, 2025). To accomplish its goals, Antifa organizes and executes a campaign to "obstruct enforcement of Federal laws through armed standoffs with law enforcement, organized riots, violent assaults on Immigration and Customs Enforcement [ICE] and other law enforcement officers, and routine doxing of and other threats against political figures and activists." *Id*.

Accordingly, aggression toward ICE is not a problem unique to Illinois. ICE faces significant threats nationwide. Take for example the tragic shooting at a Dallas ICE field office in September 2025, where a sniper opened fire and killed three people. "This vile attack was motivated by hatred for ICE[.]" DHS Issues Statement on Targeted Dallas AttackICEFacility, DHS 24, 2025), on(Sept. https://www.dhs.gov/news/2025/09/24/dhs-issues-statement-targeted-attack-dallas-icefacility. The shell casings that were found contained "anti-ICE messages on them," and handwritten notes by the shooter indicating he "hoped his actions would give ICE agents real terror of being gunned down[.]" Id.; Holly Yan & Priscilla Alvarez, A 2nd

Jennifer A. Kingson, Exclusive: \$1 billion-plus riot damage is most expensive in insurancehistory and rioters. AXIOS (Sept. 16. 2020), https://www.axios.com/2020/09/16/riots-cost-property-damage, and some rioters moved between jurisdictions. After rioting occurred in Minnesota in 2020, arrest records showed that out of 18 people arrested in St. Paul, 6 were not from Minnesota, and out of 109 people arrested in Minneapolis, 16 were not from another state. Trevor Hughes, Protests in Minneapolis turned violent: Officials first blamed outsiders, but that's not what arrests show, Today (updated June 4, USA 2020, https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2020/05/30/george-floyd-protests-riotsviolent-outside-agitators-minnesota/5291658002/.

10

detainee — a father of 4 — dies after a gunman opened fire at an ICE office in Dallas,

CNN (Sept. 30, 2025), https://www.cnn.com/2025/09/30/us/dallas-ice-facility-shooting-

detainee-dies.

Because this type of aggression toward ICE—targeting a specific facility and

preventing agents from being able to safely fulfill their duties—has already

necessitated the need for National Guard federalization in three States, it is imperative

that the unrest be squelched quickly to deter similar rioting in the other States.

Accordingly, the President's action of federalizing the National Guard furthers

the public interest because it allows ICE agents to continue to perform their statutory

duties of identifying, apprehending, and removing illegal aliens, which is the only way

to protect the States from the harms caused by illegal immigration. Blackie's House of

Beef, Inc. v. Castillo, 659 F.2d. 1211, 1221 (D.C. Cir. 1981) ("[T]he public interest in

enforcement of the immigration laws is significant." (collecting cases)). And it protects

States from the costs incurred by violent protests and riots. Further, allowing the

federal government to quash this type of behavior at its outset sets a precedent

discouraging similar behavior in other States.

CONCLUSION

This Court should grant President Trump's Application for Stay.

November 10, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

Brenna Bird Attorney General Eric H. Wessan Solicitor General 1305 E. Walnut Street Des Moines, Iowa 50319 (515) 823-9117 (515)281-4209 (fax) eric.wessan@ag.iowa.gov

Counsel for Amicus Curiae State of Iowa Austin Knudsen
Attorney General
Christian B. Corrigan
Solicitor General
Counsel of Record
Montana Department Of Justice
215 N Sanders
Helena, MT 59602
(406) 444-2026
christian.corrigan@mt.gov

Counsel for Amicus Curiae State of Montana

ADDITIONAL COUNSEL

Gentner F. Drummond Attorney General of Oklahoma

Steve Marshall Attorney General of Alabama

TIM GRIFFIN
Attorney General of
Arkansas

Christopher M. Carr Attorney General of Georgia

Theodore E. Rokita Attorney General of Indiana

Russell Coleman Attorney General of Kentucky ALAN WILSON Attorney General of South Carolina

Stephen J. Cox Attorney General of Alaska

James Uthmeier Attorney General of Florida

Raul R. Labrador Attorney General of Idaho

Kris Kobach Attorney General of Kansas

LIZ MURRILL
Attorney General of
Louisiana

Lynn Fitch
Attorney General of
Mississippi

MICHAEL T. HILGERS
Attorney General of
Nebraska

Dave Yost Attorney General of Ohio

KEN PAXTON
Attorney General of
Texas

Catherine L. Hanaway
Attorney General of
Missouri

Drew H. Wrigley
Attorney General of
North Dakota

Marty J. Jackley Attorney General of South Dakota

John B. McCuskey Attorney General of West Virginia