8022 Lethang



## The State of South Carolina OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

HENRY MCMASTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 31, 2005

The Honorable Sue H. Roe Judge of Probate, Aiken County Post Office Box 1576 Aiken, South Carolina 29802-1576

Dear Judge Roe:

Mariant Settle . Der Der 11640

In a letter to this office you questioned the legality of the imposition of a fee by a county governing body for the filing of a creditor's claim against the estate of an deceased individual. You particularly referenced a fee schedule adopted by the county which provides for the collection by the probate court of a fifteen dollar fee for the filing of such a claim. It is my understanding that such fees are unique to Aiken County.

Article V, Section 1 of the State Constitution provides for a unified judicial system in this State. S.C. Code Ann. § 14-23-1010 provides that probate courts are within the unified judicial system in this State. See also <u>State ex rel. McLeod v. Court of Probate of Colleton County</u>, 266 S.C. 279, 223 S.E.2d 166 (1976). The Supreme Court in its decision in <u>State ex rel. McLeod v. Crowe</u>, 272 S.C. 41, 249 S.E.2d 772 (1978) concluded that fees established for courts within the unified judicial system must be enacted on a uniform basis throughout the State. An opinion of this office dated August 20, 1986 determined that "(o)ne effect of bringing the probate court under the uniform judicial system was to establish uniform operation of the courts."

S.C. Code Ann. §§ 8-21-770 et seq. provide for the fees and costs payable to probate courts in this State. This office in an opinion dated March 14, 1989 concluded that a statute providing a fee schedule for the probate court of a particular county would violate Article V. Similarly, an opinion of this office dated August 6, 1986 similarly determined that legislation which would attempt to establish a fee schedule for marriage licenses issued in a particular county would violate Article V. Consistent with such, in my opinion, the establishment of a fee schedule for the probate court of a particular county by that county's governing body would violate Article V.

- The merchan 003 734 2070 - E. ....

The Honorable Sue H. Roe Page 2 August 31, 2005

If there are any questions, please advise.

Sincerely,

hader H Richard

Charles H. Richardson Senior Assistant Attorney General

**REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY:** 

, Con

Robert D. Cook Assistant Deputy Attorney General