The State of South Carolina



Office of the Attorney General

T. TRAVIS MEDLOCK ATTORNEY GENERAL REMBERT C. DENNIS BUILDING POST OFFICE BOX 11549 COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211 TELEPHONE 803.734-3636

November 9, 1987

Honorable Denny W. Neilson House of Representatives 304-A Blatt Building Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Dear Representative Neilson:

You have requested the advice of this Office as to whether the Darlington County Board of Education (County Board) has the discretion either to approve or disapprove the budget submitted by Florence-Darlington Technical College (TEC). Your request references Act 571, Acts and Joint Resolutions of South Carolina, 1976, which states that the County Board "...shall examine the itemized budget [submitted by TEC] and its approval shall constitute the authority and direction to the county auditor to levy the tax provided for in Section 1...."

"Where the terms of a statute are clear and unambiguous, there is no room for interpretation and we must apply them according to their literal meaning." South Carolina Department of Highways and Public Transportation v. Dickinson, 341 S.E.2d 134 (S.C. 1986). Here, because the County Board is directed to examine the budget, as well as approve it, mandatory or automatic approval of the budget would not be consistent with such review powers. Sutherland Statutory Construction, Vol. 2A §§ 45.12 and 46.05. Therefore, the Legislature must have intended that the County Board have the discretion, to be exercised upon reasonable grounds, to approve or disapprove the TEC budget; however, such disapproval would not

The Honorable Denny W. Neilson Page Two November 9, 1987

affect the budget of TEC for purposes other than the tax levy provided in Act 571, and the Act would not prevent TEC from submitting a revised budget. Cf. Ops. Atty. Gen. (March 18, 1986).

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Yours very truly,

J. Emory Smith, Jr. Assistant Attorney General

JESjr:st

REVIEWED AND APPROVED:

Executive Assistant for Opinions