Tiluany 1827

The State of South Carolina Carolina



Office of the Attorney Generalizmey General

T. TRAVIS MEDLOCK T. TRAVIS MEDLOCK ATTORNEY GENERAL ATTORNEY GENERAL

POST OFFICE BOX 11549 POST OFFICE BOX 11549

COLUMBIA S C. 29211 COLUMBIA S C. 29211

TELEPHONE 803-758-3970 ELEPHIENE 803 758-3970

CRIMAN 1654

March 29, 1985ch 29, 1985

The Honorable Virginia T. Hafen Register of Mesne Conveyance Spartanburg County Courthouse Spartanburg, South Carolina 29301

Dear Mrs. Hafen:

You have requested the advice of this office as to whether you must record a cancellation of a mortgage of real property which has allegedly been signed by the mortgages but not the recorded assignees of the mortgage. You have provided the following information to this office:

- 1) The original mortgage from A to B and C was recorded in 1980.
- 2) In 1983, your office recorded an assignment from C to D and F, "Executors and Trustees under the will of [B, Deceased]..."
- 3) Earlier in 1985, you were presented with a cancellation of this mortgage which was signed by B and dated in 1980. Cancellation had not been signed by C or by D and F. You refused to record the cancellation.
- 4) You were later presented with the same in the same cancellation with the addition of the form of the signature of Cha Based upon this information formation, this office assumes that the signature of grantee of C was added in 1985. It is the

Because the interest of C was recorded in 1983 as being as lived assigned, her assignees D and F would have to execute the cancellation. A reading of Sections 30-7-20 through 30-7-50 of and fine the Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, clearly indicates that properly recorded assignments are effective as to subsequent

Continuation Sheet Number 2
To: The Honorable Virginia T. Hafen
March 29, 1985ch 29, 1985

purchasers.puAckeading of Section 29-3-330(4)2and-12)(of the (2) of the Code also makes clearminate such assigneeshofs the mortgage has hould age sign the cancellation and although the person to execute the execute the satisfactionalis described sine termise of the elims mortgage, or othere or operson being the nownergothholder of halfeading of this provisions provided the earlier statutes cited to enderhing concerning assignments actearly mindicately that the easignee heathers than theer than initial mortgage should execute the cancellation. See: Sutherland Statutory Construction, Vol. 2A §51.02 (4th Ed.); Union National Bank of Columbia v. Cook, et al., 110 S.C. 99 at 108-109, 96 S.E. 484 (1918).

In conclusion, based upon the facts that you have presented, the mortgage does not appear to have been properly cancelled. See Sections 29-3-330 and 29-3-350 of the Code. Therefore, you should not be required to record it. If any other facts come to your attention which might alter this conclusion, please let us know.

Yours very truly,

EMMY

J. Emory Smith, Jr. Assistant Attorney General

JESjr:djg

REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY:

Robert D. Cook

Executive Assistant for Opinions