

1981 WL 157858 (S.C.A.G.)

Office of the Attorney General

State of South Carolina

July 9, 1981

*1 Mr. Walter M. Bailey, Jr.
Attorney
Town of Summerville
Post Office Drawer D
Summerville, South Carolina 29483

Dear Walter:

You have requested an opinion as the attorney for the Town of Summerville regarding the Summerville Commissioners of Public Works. You have stated that in 1974 an Act was passed giving the members of the Commissioners of Public Works four (4) year terms. This law varied from the general law as set out at [Section 5-31-210 of the South Carolina Code of Laws](#), 1976, which requires staggered terms of six (6) years. You have requested an opinion as to whether or not the 1974 Act is invalid as special legislation.

I would agree with your conclusion that the Act is unconstitutional as special legislation. A 1963 opinion of this office, which is cited following [Section 5-31-210](#), held that a special law enacted for the City of Laurens increasing its membership from three to five was not special legislation. The opinion stated that there appeared to be a trend toward ‘. . . tightening the restrictions as to what special legislation will be allowed . . .’. However, the writer believed that the requirements of Article III, Section 34 are ‘. . . relaxed somewhat insofar as municipalities are concerned, which provides that the General Assembly may make special provisions for municipal governments.’ (A copy of this opinion is enclosed.)

The reasoning in this opinion would be inapplicable to the present question due to the subsequent case law regarding Article III, Section 34 since the date of that opinion and by a new section of the South Carolina Constitution enacted by the General Assembly in 1972 and ratified in 1973, Article VIII, Section 10. This Section provides that
No laws for a specific municipality shall be enacted, and no municipality shall be exempted from the laws applicable to municipalities or applicable to a particular form of government selected by any municipality as authorized by Section 9 of this article.

See also Article VIII, Section 9 and 1977 Opinion of the Attorney General, Opinion Number 77-129 which held that general legislation would be necessary to abolish the Town of Manning Water Commission and vest its power and authority in the Town of Manning. (Copy enclosed).

Therefore, it would appear that a general law should have been enacted and that a special law providing an exception to the general law only for the Town of Summerville would be within the constitutional prohibition regarding special legislation.

In light of the above answer it is not necessary to address your second question which requests a further opinion if we concluded that the 1974 Act was not special legislation.

Very truly yours,

Treva G. Ashworth
Senior Assistant Attorney General

1981 WL 157858 (S.C.A.G.)

End of Document

© 2015 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.