1977 WL 37013 (S.C.A.G.)

Office of the Attorney General

State of South Carolina May 6, 1977

*1 The Freedom of Information Act would not require the disclosure of: (1) personnel information obtained in a preliminary audit data gathering; (2) a preliminary audit report presented as an administrative briefing; (3) informal working documents collected in preparation for conducting an audit and preparing a final audit report.

Mr. William T. Putnam, State Auditor

QUESTION PRESENTED:

- (1) Must audit data pertaining to the acts of specific individuals be released under the Freedom of Information Act?
- (2) Since a preliminary report was made, must that data be made available to the press prior to the completion of the entire audit?
- (3) Must informal working documents be made available along with formal audit reports?

STATUTES, CASES, ETC.

Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1962, as amended, Section 1.20, et seq.;

Cooper, et al. v. Bales, et al., Op. No. 20387, filed March 17, 1977.

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES:

You have raised three questions concerning Code Section 1.20 <u>et seq.</u>, hereinafter referred to as the Freedom of Information Act (F. O. I. A.). All three of these questions have been conclusively answered in the recent South Carolina Supreme Court case of <u>Cooper, et al.</u> v. <u>Bales, et al.</u>, <u>supra</u>.

One of the most important findings of the Court in <u>Cooper</u> is that the public records section of the F. O. I. A. and the public meeting section must be read <u>in pari materia</u>. That is, those documents which are used in, or the result of, a valid executive session, are not made public by reading the public records section in isolation from the remaining sections of the Act. Therefore, any documentary material subject to discussion in a valid executive session is not reachable under the F. O. I. A.

In <u>Cooper</u>, the Court found that proposed school budgets were incomplete working papers utilized for staff administrative briefings on proposals and revisions of budget items, and were protected from disclosure by the F. O. I. A. The papers there in question also contained personnel matters such as possible job eliminations, reassignment of positions, and salary calculations. The Court held:

As administrative briefing material and privileged subject matter, the proposed budgets are protected by the F. O. I. A.

It is the Opinion of this Office that an interim, preliminary audit report, prepared and presented as an administrative briefing, need not be made public under the F. O. I. A.

It is our further Opinion that personnel matters examined or discussed in the preliminary audit data collection, and presented as incomplete working data in an administrative briefing, need not be made public under the F. O. I. A.

It is our further Opinion that since the final audit report will be presented to the state agency and made public, the informal working papers would not need to be made public. The reason for such non-disclosure is two-fold. First, these items of informal data constitute part of the incomplete working papers used as part of an administrative briefing. Second, the public interest exception itself would support a decision to not disclose the informal working documents. Such material is gathered or created by each auditor individually and is designed solely for his convenience or edification. Potential public disclosure would inhibit an auditor from collecting all data available, and later determining its relevance, if any. Also, such informal data may contain information or opinions which later proved to be erroneous, and public disclosure of such material could create serious injustice and confusion.

CONCLUSION:

*2 For the reasons outlined herein, the F. O. I. A. would not require the disclosure of: (1) personnel information obtained in a preliminary audit data gathering; (2) a preliminary audit report presented as an administrative briefing; (3) Informal working documents collected in preparation for conducting an audit and preparing a final

George C. Beighley Assistant Attorney General

1977 WL 37013 (S.C.A.G.)

End of Document

© 2015 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.