

1978 WL 35157 (S.C.A.G.)

Office of the Attorney General

State of South Carolina

October 6, 1978

*1 Honorable Thomas L. Hughston, Jr.
Member
House of Representatives
Box 457
Greenwood, South Carolina 29646

Dear Mr. Hughston:

I must apologize for not having answered your letter of July 19, 1978, heretofore, and I hope that you will understand that my neglect is not attributable to a lack of concern about the importance of the question your submitted.

You have asked that I express an opinion on the validity of H-4109 which constitutes the Supplemental Appropriations Act for the fiscal year 1977-78 in the light of [Section 2-7-60 of the 1976 Code](#) of Laws.

In my opinion, there is no conflict between the itemization set forth in the Supplemental Appropriations Act and the provisions of [Section 2-7-60](#) in that definite sums appear to me to be stated for each purpose or activity and further itemization is, by the terms of the statute, vested in the discretion of the General Assembly. The Deficiency Act must be read in conjunction with the General Appropriations Act, as specific reference thereto is made in the former Act to the items set forth in the latter Act, and I can discern no basis upon which to conclude other than that compliance with the statute is clearly indicated.

With best wishes,
Very truly yours,

Daniel R. McLeod
Attorney General

1978 WL 35157 (S.C.A.G.)

End of Document

© 2017 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.