1974 WL 27732 (S.C.A.G.)

Office of the Attorney General

State of South Carolina April 29, 1974

*1 Re: Police Dogs

Honorable M. H. McDonald Assistant Chief of Police Georgetown Police Department Georgetown, South Carolina

Dear Mr. McDonald:

During our recent telephone conversation, you requested that I advise you concerning any legal problem that might arise from one of your officers using a police dog during routine city patrols.

There are no State laws or statutes which have any bearing on this question and as far as I can determine, the use of police dogs by a City Police Department is a matter of internal policy of that particular Department.

As I indicated on the telephone, the individual police officer who uses a dog on patrol would be subject to civil liability in the event that the dog were to bite or molest a member of the general public, while being used on the patrol. Additionally, whether or not the dog could or should be used in apprehending a criminal suspect is a factual question, and would depend entirely upon the circumstances involved.

I hope this sufficiently answers the questions which we discussed on the telephone and if I can be of any further assistance in this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Ellison D. Smith, IV Assistant Attorney General

1974 WL 27732 (S.C.A.G.)

End of Document

© 2019 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.